



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,935	09/05/2003	Stefan Gafner	TOM2809US02	7014
27723 75	590 04/26/2004		EXAM	INER
PATRICK R.	SCANLON	FLOOD, MICHELE C		
PIERCE ATWOOD ONE MONUMENT SQUARE PORTLAND, ME 04101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
t ·		GAFNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	10/655,935 Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michele C. Flood	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTE. cause the application to become ABA	(30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 S						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	Ex parte Quayle, 1900 O.D.	11, 100 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
	•					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required in the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 f(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		440(-) (-) (-)				
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 		119(a)-(d) or (t).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not r	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	,	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	rs 🗀 Nakaa akia	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/655,935

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-2, drawn to an extract of *Scutellaria laterifola* L. having a content of flavonoids, calculated as the sum of baicalin, scutellarin, dihydrobaicalin, ikonnikoside I, lateriflorin, baicalein, lateriflorein and wogonin, classified in class 424, subclass 741.
- II. Claims 3-7, drawn to a process for obtaining an extract of *Scutellaria laterifola* L. rich in flavonoids, said process comprising combining dried *Scutellaria laterifola* L. plant material with a solvent to form a solution; and separating solid material from said solution after a predetermined period, whereby said extract has a content of flavonoids, calculated as the sum of baicalin, scutellarin, dihydrobaicalin, ikonnikoside I, lateriflorin, baicalein, lateriflorein and wogonin, classified in class 514, subclass 27 or class 514, subclass 456, for example.
- III. Claims 8-11, drawn to a composition comprising extract of *Scutellaria laterifola* L. combined with a stabilizing agent, classified in class 424, subclass 741 or class 514, subclass 474, for example.
- IV. Claims 12-19, drawn to a process for obtaining on extract of *Scutellaria*laterifola L. said process comprising: combining dried *Scutellaria*lacterifola L. plant material with a solvent to form a solution; adding a

Application/Control Number: 10/655,935

Art Unit: 1654

stabilizing agent to said solution; and separating solid material from said solution after a predetermined period, classified in class 474, subclass 471 or class 514, subclass 783, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product. For example, in U.S. Patent 6608102, Howell et al. teach a method of making a proanthocyanidin extract comprising combining dried leaves or fruit of *Vaccinium macrocarpon* with a solvent (40% acetone, 40% methanol, 20% water, 0.1% ascorbic acid) to form a slurry and removing insoluble particulate matter.

Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product. For example, in U.S. 2774714, Hershberg et al. teach a method of making sapogenins from the yucca plant comprising extracting dried leaves with a solvent and filtering off the solid material.

Application/Control Number: 10/655,935

Art Unit: 1654

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one Group is not required for another Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 1654

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele C. Flood whose telephone number is (571) 272-0964. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on (571) 272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PATENT EXAMINER

MCF

April 20, 2004